



INTELLIGENCE TASKING AND DEVELOPMENT

Force Performance Profile

June 2018

Prepared by The Performance & Consultation Team

Introduction

2017/18 Reporting Year

This document contains a strategic overview of the Constabulary's performance. It displays a set of performance measures aligned with the new Performance Framework and datasets from departments across the Force. The document provides information on our performance and supplementary data to assist in understanding the reasons behind performance in those areas. It has been designed as a headline document that will enable our customers, both internally and externally, to easily assess performance information.

Where applicable, MSG (most similar group) data is published along with crime and formal action taken outcome data. MSGs are calculated by the Home Office based on a number of socio-demographic and geographic variables which are correlated to crime/incident levels and the fear of crime. These groups provide benchmark comparisons of crime and formal action taken outcome rates. The MSG datasets are published on iQuanta by the Home Office one month in arrears. The comparative crime data is based on the three months to the end of the previous month and outcome data on the twelve months to the end of the previous month.

Please note that iQuanta data is provisional. Within the profile Hampshire data is published and compared against MSG average. The other forces within Hampshire's MSG are: Avon & Somerset, Staffordshire, Essex, Leicestershire, Hertfordshire, Sussex and Thames Valley. We are unable to provide figures for these forces due to the provisional nature of this data.

This document is taken forward by key stakeholders within the organisation to the Force Performance Group, where it is used to highlight areas of good performance and those for development.

This is an evolving document and as such, the content is likely to alter over time.

Any feedback would be welcomed by the Performance and Consultation team. Email: Performance & Consultation MAILBOX

Index

Page	Contents	Source
2	Introduction	
3 - 4	Index	
5	Key	
6	Values In The Code Of Ethics	
7	National Confidence Position	Crime Survey of England and Wales
8	Calls for Service	Call Management
9	Response Times - Dispatched	Call Management
10	Response Times - On Scene	Call Management
11 - 12	Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural	Business Objects
13	Crime Data Integrity - Incident to Crime Compliance	FICAS
14,15,16	Victim Satisfaction, including Rural v Non-Rural and Satisfaction Gap	Performance & Consultation
17	Stop and Search	Performance & Consultation
18	Looking After Our People	
19	Sickness	Human Resources
20	Assault on Police	Business Objects
21	Building Partnerships	
22	Road Deaths and Serious Injuries	JOU
23,24	Firearms Licensing	Firearms Licensing
25	Anti-Social Behaviour	Business Objects
26	Tackling Crime & Offending	
27	Total Crime	Business Objects
28	Forensic Identifications	Performance & Consultation
29,30	IOM Reoffending	Business Objects
31	Custody	Business Objects
32	Identifying & Protecting Those Who Need Our Help	
33 - 37	Domestic Abuse	Business Objects
38 - 40	Serious Sexual Offences	Business Objects
41 - 42	Child Abuse	Business Objects
43	Missing Persons	Business Objects
44	Modern Day Slavery	Business Objects
45 - 46	Hate	Business Objects
47	Violence With Injury	Business Objects
48	Harrassment	Business Objects

Page	Contents	Source
49	Stalking	Business Objects
50	Robbery	Business Objects
51	Burglary Residential	Business Objects
52	Online Crime	Business Objects

Key

Abbreviations used within this document

ASB	Anti-social behaviour
FAT	Formal action taken
MSG	Most similar groups
IOM	Integrated Offender Management
YTD	Year to date

Outcomes framework


1	1	Charged/Summoned	Formal Action Taken (FAT)
	2	Caution Youths	
	3	Caution Adults	
	4	Taken into Consideration	
	6	Penalty Notice for Disorder	
	7	Cannabis Warning	
	8	Community Resolution	
	2	5	
9		Not in the public interest (CPS) (all offences)	
10		Not in the public interest (Police)	
11		Prosecution prevented - Named suspect under age	
12		Prosecution prevented - suspect too ill to prosecute	
3	13	Prosecution prevented - victim or key witness is dead/ill	No Formal Action Victim based
	14	Evidential Difficulties Victim Based - suspect not identified	
4	16	Evidential difficulties prevent further action; victim does not support police action	No Formal Action Investigation based
	15	Evidential difficulties prevent further action; victim supports police action	
	17	Prosecution time limit expired	
5	18	Investigation Complete: No suspect identified	Outcome 20
	20	Responsibility for further investigation transferred to another body	
6	21	Investigation to support action against suspect not in public interest - police decision	Outcome 21

VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS



VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

National Confidence Position




Note: Quarterly data is published one quarter in arrears


VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Calls for Service

999 calls	Service Level	Volumes	Abandoned
Previous YTD	85.5%	63,134	993
Current YTD	88.5%	63,270	470
Difference	3.0%	136	-523





101 calls	Service Level	Volumes	Abandoned
Previous YTD	58.1%	175,441	33,556
Current YTD	65.7%	177,866	26,418
Difference	7.6%	2,425	-7,138





VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Response Times - Dispatched





VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Response Times - On Scene




VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural




VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural



VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Crime Data Integrity




Note: Aspiration to achieve 95%

No incident to crime compliance audit undertaken in April 2017 and January 2018

Incident to Crime Compliance	Latest Audit	Change Since Last Audit
Violent Offences	85%	+2 % pt
Sexual Offences	80%	+0 % pt
Other Offences	91%	+4 % pt
Other Systems	89%	+0% pt
Cancelled Crime	96%	+6 % pt

Victim Satisfaction



Note: CVF - completely, very and fairly satisfied. No interviews were undertaken in April 2018 due to moving to new provider

Overall Satisfaction (Burglary, Violent & Hate)	Latest R3 Months
Respondents	1202
Initial Contact	93%
Actions Taken	74%
Being Kept Informed	68%
Treatment	90%
Whole Experience	78%

VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Victim Satisfaction - Rural v Non-Rural

CVF - Whole Experience	Rural	Non-Rural	Difference (% points)
Violent crime	73.6%	72.5%	1.1%
Burglary residential (excluding sheds/garages)	88.2%	84.0%	4.2%
Hate crime	84.3%	76.8%	7.5%
Overall satisfaction	78.5%	75.5%	3.0%

Notes:

The figures show the rural / non-rural differences for victim satisfaction for the rolling twelve month period April 2017 - March 2018 for the 'whole experience' question

988 (22.2%) interviews were conducted with rural-based victims compared to 3472 (77.8%) for non rural-based victims (4460 respondents answered this question)


Due to the limited number of rural-based victims, the data shown is for twelve months

CVF - completely, very and fairly satisfied

Note: Due to the change in provider work needs to be undertaken to analyse data between rural and non-rural beats. This is currently being carried out

VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Satisfaction Gap



Note: From April 2017, vehicle crime has not been included in the rolling 12 months figure. Crime types now included are burglary residential (excluding sheds and garages), violent and hate crimes/incidents

No interviews were undertaken in April 2018 due to moving to new provider

VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Stop and Search

R12 months to April 2018	Stops Per Month	Arrest Rate	Positive Outcome Rate
Hampshire	726	17.0%	37.1%
MSG Average	391	15.2%	32.8%
National Average	527	17.1%	31.3%

Note: Data is two months in arrears

LOOKING AFTER OUR PEOPLE




Sickness

12 months to April 2018	Force level
Strength (full time equivalent)	4928.2
Days sickness (per full time equivalent)	10.1

Note: Data is two months in arrears to allow all sickness to be recorded on SAP

Data is provided for a rolling 12 months using the average strength to calculate the duty days lost

Assault on Police



Assault on Police	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Assaults on Police	357	380	23	6.4%
VAPi on Police	119	96	-23	-19.3%
% VAPi of total assaults	33.3%	25.3%		-8.0 % pt

Command	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
R&P	229	246	17	7.4%
P&N	57	71	14	24.6%
Investigation	41	39	-2	-4.9%
JOU	27	19	-8	-29.6%

BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS




BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

Road Deaths and Serious Injuries

YTD (to June)	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Road Traffic Collision - Damage Only	2481	2311	-170	-6.9%
Road Traffic Collision - Death	8	12	4	50.0%
Road Traffic Collision - Minor Injury	769	842	73	9.5%
Road Traffic Collision - Serious Injury	220	213	-7	-3.2%
Total	3478	3378	-100	-2.9%
Total KSI Collisions	228	225	-3	-1.3%

BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

Firearms Licensing



FIREARMS LICENSING	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18	Jun 18 Number met SLA
Firearm Grant	83.3%	70.6%	63.0%	72.2%	62.5%	75.9%	63.2%	24 of 38
Shot Gun Grant	76.1%	71.2%	61.8%	75.3%	65.4%	66.3%	72.9%	51 of 70
Visitors Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3 of 3
Visitors Shot Gun	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	15 of 15
European Firearms Pass	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	3 of 3

BAU: 19 shot gun grants and 14 firearm grants did not meet the SLA in June. Errors in applications and on-going enquiries were the cause in the majority of those that went beyond the 12 week SLA. As at 2 July, there are no renewals awaiting processing, these, along with all other areas of BAU, are being dealt with upon receipt, and therefore fully meeting the SLA.

These figures have dipped slightly against the previous period, with this impact being attributed to the work being carried out on DASH extraction, which is anticipated to be ongoing for a further 4 – 6 weeks.

BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

Firearms Licensing - Turnaround Times

Of those grant applications that did not meet the SLA, the longest of those are broken down as follows:

Firearms	Shot Guns
260 days – Awaiting land authority from applicant	197 days – Awaiting referee details from applicant
163 days – FEO sickness and other absence	188 days – holder changed address partway through
149 days – FEO sickness and other absence	143 days – Applicant did not respond to FEO contact
141 days – FEO sickness and other absence	137 days - Awaiting ACRO Checks
	133 days – FEO sickness and other absence
	113 days – Awaiting holder fitting security

Legacy. The only remaining legacy work are the 1,200 outstanding sales notifications from the original 20,000, and these continue to be worked on

Turnaround Times	Firearm Grant							
	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18
Number not met SLA	4	3	5	10	10	9	7	14
% Not met SLA	21.1%	16.7%	29.4%	37.0%	27.8%	37.5%	24.1%	36.8%
Average completed (days) of those meeting the SLA	37	41	47	46	37	36	38	39


Turnaround Times	Shot Gun Grant							
	Nov 17	Dec 17	Jan 18	Feb 18	Mar 18	Apr 18	May 18	Jun 18
Number not met SLA	13	26	21	29	20	28	31	19
% Not met SLA	18.3%	23.9%	28.8%	38.2%	24.7%	34.6%	33.7%	27.1%
Average completed (days) of those meeting the SLA	54	38	44	46	45	54	38	34

Renewal of firearm and shotgun certificates SLA - <i>all renewals will be granted before the expiry date, subject to no errors/ issues in the application</i>

Grant of new firearm and shotgun certificates SLA - <i>12 weeks to complete, subject to no errors/ issues in the application</i>
--

BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

ASB



	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total ASB Incidents	13932	13445	-487	-3.5%

Incidents Apr16-Mar17	Per 1,000 population
MSG position	5 of 8
MSG actual	25
MSG average	25


Note: MSG data available annually (approximately in July) from the Office for National Statistics

TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING



TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

Total Crime



Total Crime	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	40304	41850	1546	3.8%
FAT outcomes	5291	5686	395	7.5%
FAT outcome rate %	13.1%	13.6%		+0.5 % pt
Outcome 16	5889	6260	371	6.3%
Outcome 16 rate %	14.6%	15.0%		+0.4 % pt

Note: Outcome 16 is where the victim does not support/withdrawn support - named suspect identified

Total Crime	Crimes	FAT Outcomes	Outcome 16
MSG position	7 of 8	4 of 8	5 of 8 *
MSG actual	19.226	15.0%	16.9%
MSG average	17.967	14.7%	17.0%


Notes:

Crimes per 1000 population, provided one month in arrears

* 1 of 8 would mean that Hampshire has the highest recorded usage of Outcome 16 within its MSG

TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

Forensic Identifications



YTD (to May)	Current YTD Idents	Current YTD FAT Outcomes	Current YTD Ident Conversion Rate %	Previous YTD Ident Conversion Rate %
Eastern	52	19	36.5%	37.2%
IOW	13	6	46.2%	16.7%
Northern	56	23	41.1%	43.8%
Western	75	36	48.0%	30.3%
Force	196	84	42.9%	33.1%

Notes:


All identifications and primary outcomes with Formal Action Taken (FAT)

Data provided one month in arrears

East Hants is included within Eastern and Test Valley within Northern


TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

IOM Reoffending



Custody Entrants
Refers to the number of times the individuals in the cohort have been arrested and brought into police custody
Number of Offences Arrested
Refers to the total number of offences the individuals have been arrested for (some individuals will be arrested for multiple offences)
Number of Formal Outcomes
Refers to the total number of offences arrested which have resulted in a positive formal action outcome

Reduction	Baseline to Q8
Custody entrants	-58.2%
Offences arrested	-25.6%
Formal outcomes	-35.3%




Reduction	Baseline to Q7
Custody entrants	-38.7%
Offences arrested	-18.9%
Formal outcomes	-17.1%


Note: Data is available quarterly

TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

IOM Reoffending



Reduction	Baseline to Q6
Custody entrants	-47.1%
Offences arrested	-51.6%
Formal outcomes	-46.1%



Reduction	Baseline to Q5
Custody entrants	-56.9%
Offences arrested	-65.6%
Formal outcomes	-45.6%

Note: Data is available quarterly

TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

Custody

YTD (to June)	Previous YTD			Current YTD		
	People Arrested	Offences Arrested	% Bailed 1st Time	People Arrested	Offences Arrested	% Bailed 1st Time
Total	6019	10103	1.3%	6031	10643	2.2%


Note: Amendments to pre-charge bail under PACE from 3 April 2017 has resulted in a significant reduction in the percentage bailed first time

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP



IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Domestic Abuse - Incidents and Crimes




Domestic Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Incidents	8833	9548	715	8.1%
Repeat Incidents	4569	4866	297	6.5%
Repeat Incident Rate	51.7%	51.0%		-0.7 % pt
Crimes	4926	5614	688	14.0%
Repeat Crimes	1706	1845	139	8.1%
Repeat Crime Rate	34.6%	32.9%		-1.7 % pt

Notes:

A repeat domestic is defined as where an individual has been recorded as a victim on one or more previous occasions in the last rolling 365 days
 A change in the way we record harassment and stalking has had an effect on domestic crime volumes which have seen an increase, and on arrest rates which have seen a reduction. At this time we are unable to say how this change in recording will effect future performance, as the Home Office Counting Rule changes were only introduced in April 2018.

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Domestic Abuse - Rates



Domestic Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Arrest rate %	48.4%	42.1%		-6.3 % pt
FAT outcome volumes	706	889	183	25.9%
FAT outcome rate %	14.3%	15.8%		+1.5 % pt
Outcome 16 volumes	1913	2496	583	30.5%
Outcome 16 rate %	38.8%	44.5%		+5.7% pt


Notes:

Attrition rate is provided one month in arrears

Outcome 16 is where the victim does not support/withdrawn support - named suspect identified


IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Domestic Abuse - Victim Satisfaction



Number of Interviews	
Apr-Jun 2017	90
Jul-Sept 2017	95
Oct-Dec 2017	80
Jan-Mar 2018	141

* Note: There were 50 respondents to this question in the Jan-Mar 2018 surveys




Number of Interviews	
Apr-Jun 2017	30
Jul-Sept 2017	28
Oct-Dec 2017	38
Jan-Mar 2018	67

* Note: There were 16 respondents to this question in the Jan-Mar 2018 surveys

Note: Data is available quarterly, one month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Domestic Abuse - Ancillary Orders




Note: It is not possible to separate Right to Ask and Right to Know between domestic abuse and sexual offences

Ancillary Orders	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Right to Ask	55	64	9	16.4%
Right to Know	21	46	25	119.0%

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Domestic Abuse - Ancillary Orders




DVPN - Domestic Violence Prevention Notice
DVPO - Domestic Violence Prevention Order

Ancillary Orders	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
DVPN	31	92	61	196.8%
DVPO	22	82	60	272.7%

Note: The DVPN and DVPO process has recently changed. Previous reporting was for applications made, but with effect from 23 October 2017, the number of DVPNs served and DVPOs granted are shown

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Serious Sexual Offences - All



SSO Adult	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	703	713	10	1.4%
FAT outcomes	36	34	-2	-5.6%
FAT outcome rate %	5.1%	4.8%		-0.3 % pt

SSO Child	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	370	382	12	3.2%
FAT outcomes	31	13	-18	-58.1%
FAT outcome rate %	8.4%	3.4%		-5.0 % pt


Notes:

Crimes are based on the validation review date and the age is the age of the victim at the time of the offence

This does not take into account how recent the offences were. Offences that occurred years ago to someone who was a child at the time but is an adult now, will be included within the child data

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Serious Sexual Offences - Rape



Rape Adult	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - Adult	317	362	45	14.2%
FAT outcomes	7	4	-3	-
FAT outcome rate %	2.2%	1.1%		-1.1 % pt

Rape Child	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - Child	150	143	-7	-4.7%
FAT outcomes	15	5	-10	-66.7%
FAT outcome rate %	10.0%	3.5%		-6.5 % pt

Notes:

Crimes are based on the validation review date and the age is the age of the victim at the time of the offence

This does not take into account how recent the offences were. Offences that occurred years ago to someone who was a child at the time but is an adult now, will be included within the child data

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Serious Sexual Offences - FAT Outcome Rates



	Serious Sexual Offences		Rape	
	Crimes	FAT Outcomes	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	7 of 8	2 of 8	8 of 8	4 of 8
MSG actual	0.517	7.3%	0.240	5.5%
MSG average	0.441	8.6%	0.199	5.7%

Crimes per 1000 population

Data provided one month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Child Abuse



IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Child Abuse

Child Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	768	756	-12	-1.6%
Formal Action Taken/ Formal Action Not Appropriate/ Outcome 20	255	229	-26	-10.2%
Formal Action Taken/ Formal Action Not Appropriate/ Outcome 20 outcome rate %	33.2%	30.3%		-2.9 % pt

Child Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Charged and Summoned	47	30	-17	-36.2%

Note: The crime codes selected are those defined by HMIC for child abuse.

The performance success of Child Abuse Investigations is not simply measured by the number of convictions. Any investigation must consider the needs and views of the child. Child Abuse covers a wide range of offences, including physical and sexual, with offenders not just strangers but often within the family. It also includes offences where both the victim and the offender are children. Almost all offences involving child victims involve multiple agencies working together to safeguard. In order to understand our own performance, we measure three key areas;

Formal Action Taken

Where we have either charged the suspect who will then go to court, or give them a formal out of court disposal such as a conditional caution or community resolution, where we can attach conditions, for example to undertake education or parenting courses

Formal Action Not Applicable


Sometimes we have sufficient evidence to proceed with a charge or formal outcome but for all of the reasons outlined above we will not proceed to a prosecution. Often this is because of the impact on the victim, their wishes, or the public interest in prosecuting the offender, for example where the child is very young and the consequences of the action taken were not necessarily realised and would result in a criminal record. More often than not this relates to offences where the victim or offender were of a similar age

Outcome 20

This is used where another agency other than police have primacy for the action taken following the report of a crime. In most cases this will be social services and often results from joint investigations related to crimes within the family such as low level assaults or neglectful living conditions. Often in these cases the intervention of other services may help improve the long term care of the child and is therefore in their best interest

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP


Missing Persons



Missing Persons	Occurrences YTD	People YTD	Repeat Rate
Adult	825	774	6.6%
Child	1910	1220	56.6%
Total	2735	1994	37.2%

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Modern Day Slavery



Modern Day Slavery - Crimes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Crimes	18	29	11	61%


Modern Day Slavery - Outcomes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Formal Action Taken	0	0	0	-
Formal Action Not Appropriate	0	1	1	-
No Formal Action - Victim Based	1	4	3	-
No Formal Action - Invest. Based	9	16	7	78%
Outcome 20	6	1	-5	-83%
Total Outcomes	16	22	6	38%

Children Trafficking - Crimes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Crimes	7	3	-4	-57%

Note: These are crimes coded to 'arrange or facilitate travel of another person with a view of exploitation', where the victim is aged 17 or under. This data is likely to see significant fluctuations over the months, as Hampshire has to record each crime that comes to its attention, many of which will then be transferred to the force having jurisdiction over the point of entry into the UK

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Hate - Incidents and Crimes




Hate Crime by Characteristic	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Disability	57	81	24	42.1%
Faith/Religion	82	17	-65	-79.3%
Gender Identity	18	25	7	38.9%
Race	516	465	-51	-9.9%
Sexual Orientation	110	94	-16	-14.5%
Total	783	682	-101	-12.9%

Note: It is possible for an occurrence to meet the classification of more than one hate crime characteristic

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP


Hate - Crimes and FAT Outcomes



Hate	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
FAT outcomes	143	148	5	3.5%
FAT outcome rate %	18.8%	22.4%		+3.6 % pt

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Violence with Injury




Violence with Injury	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	5555	5553	-2	0.0%
FAT outcomes	904	1031	127	14.0%
FAT outcome rate %	16.3%	18.6%		+2.3 % pt

Violence with Injury	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	8 of 8	3 of 8
MSG actual	2.497	20.9%
MSG average	1.892	20.4%

Note: Crimes per 1000 population, provided one month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Harassment



Note: Home Office codes 8/71 (disclose private sexual photographs and films with intent to cause distress) and 8/72 (sending letters etc with intent to cause distress or anxiety) were removed from the classification of Harassment from 1 April 2017. These previously accounted for around 70% of harassment


Harassment	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	531	850	319	60.1%
FAT outcomes	106	143	37	34.9%
FAT outcome rate %	20.0%	16.8%		-3.2 % pt

The Protection from Harassment Act 1997 is designed to be used where no other substantive notifiable offence exists. It addresses series of incidents that do not amount to the commission of a substantive offence per se, but when looked at as a course of conduct are likely to cause fear, alarm or distress.

However, a course of conduct where all of some of the incidents do amount to substantive notifiable offences could also amount to harassment. Where this is the case the harassment will be recorded in addition to the most serious additional victim based offence involving the same victim-offender relationship reported at the same time. This Home Office Counting Rule change came into effect in April 2018

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Stalking




Stalking	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	46	99	53	115.2%
FAT outcomes	8	18	10	125.0%
FAT outcome rate %	17.4%	18.2%		+0.8 % pt

Following the change in Home Office Counting Rules in April 2018, stalking should be recorded in addition to the most serious additional notifiable crime reported at the same time (per victim offender relationship)

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Robbery




Robbery	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	312	276	-36	-11.5%
FAT outcomes	52	57	5	9.6%
FAT outcome rate %	16.7%	20.7%		+4.0% pt

Robbery	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	3 of 8	1 of 8
MSG actual	0.142	16.2%
MSG average	0.174	14.2%

Note: Crimes per 1000 population, provided one month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Burglary Residential



Note: The Home Office amended the crime classifications of burglary dwelling and burglary non-dwelling to burglary residential and burglary business and community, with effect from April 2017, changing the distinction of each. Data before and after this date will not be comparable

Burglary Residential	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - dwellings	1139	990	-149	-13.1%
Crimes - sheds/garages etc	1029	829	-200	-19.4%
Crimes - total	2168	1819	-349	-16.1%
FAT outcomes	110	92	-18	-16.4%
FAT outcome rate %	5.1%	5.1%		0.0 % pt

Burglary Residential	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	3 of 8	3 of 8
MSG actual	2.154	5.4%
MSG average	2.445	4.7%

Note: Crimes per 1000 households, provided one month in arrears.

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Online Crime



Note: These are the crimes flagged on RMS as cyber crime and/or CSE online crime

Online Crime	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	221	266	45	20.4%
FAT outcomes	56	41	-15	-26.8%
FAT outcome rate %	25.3%	15.4%		-9.9 % pt

	Previous YTD	Current YTD
Online Crime	221	266
Total Crime	40304	41850
Online Crime as % of Total Crime	0.5%	0.6%