



# INTELLIGENCE TASKING AND DEVELOPMENT

## Force Performance Profile

December 2018

Prepared by Organisational Analysis team

## Introduction

### 2018/19 Reporting Year

This document contains a strategic overview of the Constabulary's performance. It displays a set of performance measures aligned with the new Performance Framework and datasets from departments across the Force. The document provides information on our performance and supplementary data to assist in understanding the reasons behind performance in those areas. It has been designed as a headline document that will enable our customers, both internally and externally, to easily assess performance information.

Where applicable, MSG (most similar group) data is published along with crime and formal action taken outcome data. MSGs are calculated by the Home Office based on a number of socio-demographic and geographic variables which are correlated to crime/incident levels and the fear of crime. These groups provide benchmark comparisons of crime and formal action taken outcome rates. The MSG datasets are published on iQuanta by the Home Office one month in arrears. The comparative crime data is based on the three months to the end of the previous month and outcome data on the twelve months to the end of the previous month.

Please note that iQuanta data is provisional. Within the profile Hampshire data is published and compared against MSG average. The other forces within Hampshire's MSG are: Avon & Somerset, Staffordshire, Essex, Leicestershire, Hertfordshire, Sussex and Thames Valley. We are unable to provide figures for these forces due to the provisional nature of this data.

This document is taken forward by key stakeholders within the organisation to the Force Performance Group, where it is used to highlight areas of good performance and those for development.

This is an evolving document and as such, the content is likely to alter over time.

Any feedback would be welcomed by the Organisational Analysis team. Email: [ITDOrgAnalysis@hampshire.pnn.police.uk](mailto:ITDOrgAnalysis@hampshire.pnn.police.uk)

## Index

Page	Contents	Source
2	Introduction	
3 - 4	Index	
5	Key	
6	<b>Values In The Code Of Ethics</b>	
7	National Confidence Position	Crime Survey of England and Wales
8	Calls for Service	Call Management
9	Response Times - Dispatched	Call Management
10	Response Times - On Scene	Call Management
11 - 12	Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural	Business Objects
13	Crime Data Integrity - Incident to Crime Compliance	FICAS
14-15-16	Victim Satisfaction, including Rural v Non-Rural and Satisfaction Gap	Performance & Consultation
17	Stop and Search	Performance & Consultation
18	<b>Looking After Our People</b>	
19	Sickness	Human Resources
20	Assault on Police	Business Objects
21	<b>Building Partnerships</b>	
22	Road Deaths and Serious Injuries	JOU
23-24	Firearms Licensing	Firearms Licensing
25	Anti-Social Behaviour	Business Objects
26	<b>Tackling Crime &amp; Offending</b>	
27	Total Crime	Business Objects
28	Forensic Identifications	Performance & Consultation
29-30	IOM Reoffending	Business Objects
31	Custody	Business Objects
32	<b>Identifying &amp; Protecting Those Who Need Our Help</b>	
33 - 37	Domestic Abuse	Business Objects
38 - 40	Serious Sexual Offences	Business Objects
41 - 42	Child Abuse	Business Objects
43	Missing Persons	Business Objects
44	Modern Day Slavery	Business Objects
45 - 46	Hate	Business Objects
47	Violence With Injury	Business Objects
48	Harrassment	Business Objects

Page	Contents	Source
49	Stalking	Business Objects
50	Robbery	Business Objects
51	Burglary Residential	Business Objects
52	Online Crime	Business Objects

## Key

### Abbreviations used within this document

ASB	Anti-social behaviour
FAT	Formal action taken
MSG	Most similar groups
IOM	Integrated Offender Management
YTD	Year to date

### Outcomes framework


<b>1</b>	1	Charged/Summoned	<b>Formal Action Taken (FAT)</b>
	2	Caution Youths	
	3	Caution Adults	
	4	Taken into Consideration	
	6	Penalty Notice for Disorder	
	7	Cannabis Warning	
	8	Community Resolution	
	<b>2</b>	5	
9		Not in the public interest (CPS) (all offences)	
10		Not in the public interest (Police)	
11		Prosecution prevented - Named suspect under age	
12		Prosecution prevented - suspect too ill to prosecute	
<b>3</b>	13	Prosecution prevented - victim or key witness is dead/ill	<b>No Formal Action Victim based</b>
	14	Evidential Difficulties Victim Based - suspect not identified	
<b>4</b>	16	Evidential difficulties prevent further action; victim does not support police action	<b>No Formal Action Investigation based</b>
	15	Evidential difficulties prevent further action; victim supports police action	
	17	Prosecution time limit expired	
<b>5</b>	18	Investigation Complete: No suspect identified	<b>Outcome 20</b>
	20	Responsibility for further investigation transferred to another body	
<b>6</b>	21	Investigation to support action against suspect not in public interest - police decision	<b>Outcome 21</b>

# VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS



# VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

## National Confidence Position




Note: Quarterly data is published two quarter in arrears


VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

**Calls for Service**

999 calls	Service Level	Volumes	Abandoned
Previous YTD	89.5%	187,103	2,100
Current YTD	84.0%	200,342	3,032
Difference	-5.5%	13,239	932





101 calls	Service Level	Volumes	Abandoned
Previous YTD	65.4%	499,506	75,002
Current YTD	51.0%	535,871	135,219
Difference	-14.4%	36,365	60,217







VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

**Response Times - Dispatched**





VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

**Response Times - On Scene**




# VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

## Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural




VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

Response Times - Rural v Non-Rural



VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

**Crime Data Integrity**



Note: Aspiration to achieve 95%


No incident to crime compliance audit undertaken in January 2018 or July 2018

Data is two months in arrears

Incident to Crime Compliance	Latest Audit	Change Since Last Audit
Violent Offences	84%	+0 % pt
Sexual Offences	79%	-1 % pt
Other Offences	87%	-1 % pt
Other Systems	90%	+5 % pt
Cancelled Crime	98%	+0 % pt

# VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

## Victim Satisfaction



Note: CVF - completely, very and fairly satisfied. No interviews were undertaken in April 2018 due to moving to new provider

Overall Satisfaction (Burglary, Violent & Hate)	Latest R3 Months
Respondents	1214
Initial Contact	92%
Actions Taken	70%
Being Kept Informed	64%
Treatment	89%
Whole Experience	73%

## VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

### Victim Satisfaction - Rural v Non-Rural

CVF - Whole Experience	Rural	Non-Rural	Difference (% points)
Violent crime	73.5%	72.1%	1.4%
Burglary residential (excluding sheds/garages)	84.3%	84.0%	0.3%
Hate crime	83.8%	77.0%	6.8%
Overall satisfaction	77.3%	75.2%	2.1%

**Notes:**

The figures show the rural / non-rural differences for victim satisfaction for the rolling twelve month period December 2017 - December 2018 for the 'whole experience' question

604 (14.6%) interviews were conducted with rural-based victims compared to 3545 (85.4%) for non rural-based victims (4149 respondents answered this question)


Due to the limited number of rural-based victims, the data shown is for twelve months

No interviews were undertaken in April 2018 due to moving to new provider

CVF - completely, very and fairly satisfied

# VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

## Satisfaction Gap



Note: From April 2017, vehicle crime has not been included in the rolling 12 months figure. Crime types now included are burglary residential (excluding sheds and garages), violent and hate crimes/incidents

No interviews were undertaken in April 2018 due to moving to new provider




VALUES IN THE CODE OF ETHICS

**Stop and Search**

R12 months to Nov 2018	Stops Per Month	Arrest Rate	Positive Outcome Rate
Hampshire	685	17.7%	40.5%
MSG Average	434	16.4%	33.5%
National Average	564	16.1%	29.4%

Note: Data is one month in arrears



# LOOKING AFTER OUR PEOPLE




**Sickness**

12 months to October 2018	Force level
Strength (full time equivalent)	4863.5
Days sickness (per full time equivalent)	10.3

Note: Data is two months in arrears to allow all sickness to be recorded on SAP

Data is provided for a rolling 12 months using the average strength to calculate the duty days lost

**Assault on Police**



Assault on Police	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Assaults on Police	1099	1150	51	4.6%
VAPi on Police	333	342	9	2.7%
% VAPi of total assaults	30.3%	29.7%		-0.6 % pt

Command	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
R&P	665	749	84	12.6%
P&N	188	188	0	0.0%
Investigation	123	123	0	0.0%
JOU	90	65	-25	-27.8%

# BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS




BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

**Road Deaths and Serious Injuries**

YTD (to Dec)	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Road Traffic Collision - Damage Only	7667	7203	-464	-6.1%
Road Traffic Collision - Death	27	41	14	51.9%
Road Traffic Collision - Minor Injury	2559	2571	12	0.5%
Road Traffic Collision - Serious Injury	665	710	45	6.8%
Total	10918	10525	-393	-3.6%
<b>Total KSI Collisions</b>	<b>692</b>	<b>751</b>	<b>59</b>	<b>8.5%</b>

## BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

### Firearms Licensing



FIREARMS LICENSING	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18	Sept 18	Oct 18	Nov 18	Dec 18	Dec 18 Number met SLA
Firearm Grant	63.2%	63.2%	85.7%	82.1%	77.1%	81.8%	68.8%	11 of 16
Shot Gun Grant	72.9%	74.1%	82.0%	81.3%	85.9%	81.7%	94.4%	67 of 71
Visitors Firearm	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	83.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0 of 0
Visitors Shot Gun	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	6 of 6
European Firearms Pass	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	9 of 9

**BAU:** Of the 16 firearm grants and 71 shotgun grants, 11 (69%) and 67 (94%) respectively met the Service Level Agreement (SLA). As at 3 January there are no renewals awaiting processing, with these being dealt with upon receipt, and therefore fully meeting the SLA.

**2018 summary:** A total of 305 firearm certificates were granted, of which 219 (72%) were completed within the SLA. The average time for completion was 39 days. A total of 905 shotgun certificates were granted, of which 685 (76%) were completed within the SLA. The average time for completion was 43 days. This equates to a total of 1,210 new firearm and shotgun certificates being completed and granted throughout the year, at an average of 5 completions per working day.

87 European Firearms Pass were completed, all within the SLA

67 Visitors Firearms Certificates were granted. All but 1 of these were completed within the SLA (99%)

122 Visitors Shot Gun Certificates were granted. All but 3 of these were completed within the SLA (98%)

BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

**Firearms Licensing - Turnaround Times**

Turnaround Times	Firearm Grant							
	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18	Sept 18	Oct 18	Nov 18	Dec 18
Number not met SLA	7	14	7	2	5	8	4	5
% Not met SLA	24.1%	36.8%	36.8%	14.3%	17.9%	22.9%	18.2%	31.3%
Average completed (days) of those meeting the SLA	38	39	30	43	45	50	43	32


Turnaround Times	Shot Gun Grant							
	May 18	Jun 18	Jul 18	Aug 18	Sept 18	Oct 18	Nov 18	Dec 18
Number not met SLA	31	19	15	9	15	11	17	4
% Not met SLA	33.7%	27.1%	25.9%	18.0%	18.8%	14.1%	18.3%	5.6%
Average completed (days) of those meeting the SLA	38	34	35	43	41	37	37	41

Renewal of firearm and shotgun certificates SLA - <i>all renewals will be granted before the expiry date, subject to no errors/ issues in the application</i>
Grant of new firearm and shotgun certificates SLA - <i>12 weeks to complete, subject to no errors/ issues in the application</i>



## BUILDING PARTNERSHIPS

### ASB



	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total ASB Incidents	39757	35455	-4302	-10.8%

Incidents Apr17-Mar18	Per 1,000 population
MSG position	5 of 8
MSG actual	25
MSG average	24


Note: MSG data available annually (approximately in July) from the Office for National Statistics

# TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING



# TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

## Total Crime



Total Crime	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	120834	122188	1354	1.1%
FAT outcomes	17669	17419	-250	-1.4%
FAT outcome rate %	14.6%	14.3%		-0.3 % pt
Outcome 16	18887	18988	101	0.5%
Outcome 16 rate %	15.6%	15.5%		-0.1 % pt

Note: Outcome 16 is where the victim does not support/withdrawn support - named suspect identified

Total Crime	Crimes	FAT Outcomes	Outcome 16
MSG position	5 of 8	4 of 8	6 of 8 *
MSG actual	20.247	14.9%	17.7%
MSG average	19.602	13.7%	18.0%


Notes:

Crimes per 1000 population, provided two month in arrears

\* 1 of 8 would mean that Hampshire has the highest recorded usage of Outcome 16 within its MSG

# TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

## Forensic Identifications



YTD (to Nov)	Current YTD Idents	Current YTD FAT Outcomes	Current YTD Ident Conversion Rate %	Previous YTD Ident Conversion Rate %
Eastern	237	88	37.1%	33.8%
IOW	33	17	51.5%	44.4%
Northern	253	72	28.5%	36.8%
Western	296	104	35.1%	41.2%
Force	819	281	34.3%	37.7%

**Notes:**


All identifications and primary outcomes with Formal Action Taken (FAT)

Data provided one month in arrears

East Hants is included within Eastern and Test Valley within Northern


# TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

## IOM Reoffending



<b>Custody Entrants</b>
Refers to the number of times the individuals in the cohort have been arrested and brought into police custody
<b>Number of Offences Arrested</b>
Refers to the total number of offences the individuals have been arrested for (some individuals will be arrested for multiple offences)
<b>Number of Formal Outcomes</b>
Refers to the total number of offences arrested which have resulted in a positive formal action outcome

Reduction	Baseline to Q8
Custody entrants	-64.7%
Offences arrested	-53.4%
Formal outcomes	-58.4%




Reduction	Baseline to Q7
Custody entrants	-48.3%
Offences arrested	-43.0%
Formal outcomes	-40.4%


Note: Data is available quarterly

# TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

## IOM Reoffending



Reduction	Baseline to Q6
Custody entrants	-58.1%
Offences arrested	-64.5%
Formal outcomes	-72.5%



Reduction	Baseline to Q5
Custody entrants	-56.1%
Offences arrested	-56.7%
Formal outcomes	-74.4%

Note: Data is available quarterly

TACKLING CRIME AND OFFENDING

**Custody**

YTD (to December)	Previous YTD			Current YTD		
	People Arrested	Offences Arrested	% Bailed 1st Time	People Arrested	Offences Arrested	% Bailed 1st Time
Total	18829	31572	1.0%	17938	31268	2.0%


# IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP





IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Domestic Abuse - Incidents and Crimes**




Domestic Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Incidents	26578	28933	2355	8.9%
Repeat Incidents	13609	14811	1202	8.8%
Repeat Incident Rate	51.2%	51.2%		0.0 % pt
Crimes	14864	17056	2192	14.7%
Repeat Crimes	5014	5727	713	14.2%
Repeat Crime Rate	33.7%	33.6%		-0.1 % pt

Notes:

A repeat domestic is defined as where an individual has been recorded as a victim on one or more previous occasions in the last rolling 365 days

# IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

## Domestic Abuse - Rates



Domestic Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Arrest rate %	50.4%	43.4%		-7.1 % pt
FAT outcome volumes	2451	2651	200	8.2%
FAT outcome rate %	16.5%	15.5%		-1.0 % pt
Outcome 16 volumes	6338	7816	1478	23.3%
Outcome 16 rate %	42.6%	45.8%		+3.2 % pt


Notes:

Attrition rate is provided one month in arrears

Outcome 16 is where the victim does not support/withdrawn support - named suspect identified


IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Domestic Abuse - Victim Satisfaction**



Number of Interviews	
Oct-Dec 2017	90
Jan-Mar 2018	95
Apr-Jun 2018	80
Jul-Sept 2018	134

\* Note: There were 54 respondents to this question in the Jul-Sept 2018 surveys




Number of Interviews	
Oct-Dec 2017	30
Jan-Mar 2018	28
Apr-Jun 2018	38
Jul-Sept 2018	61

\* Note: There were 8 respondents to this question in the Jul-Sept 2018 surveys

Note: Data is available quarterly, one month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Domestic Abuse - Ancillary Orders**




Note: It is not possible to separate Right to Ask and Right to Know between domestic abuse and sexual offences

Ancillary Orders	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Right to Ask	155	184	29	18.7%
Right to Know	95	124	29	30.5%

## IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

### Domestic Abuse - Ancillary Orders




DVPN - Domestic Violence Prevention Notice  
 DVPO - Domestic Violence Prevention Order

Ancillary Orders	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
DVPN	168	213	45	26.8%
DVPO	122	189	67	54.9%

Note: The DVPN and DVPO process has changed. Previous reporting was for applications made, but with effect from 23 October 2017, the number of DVPNs served and DVPOs granted are shown

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Serious Sexual Offences - All**



SSO Adult	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	2133	2295	162	7.6%
FAT outcomes	161	99	-62	-38.5%
FAT outcome rate %	7.5%	4.3%		-3.2 % pt

SSO Child	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	1074	1155	81	7.5%
FAT outcomes	97	61	-36	-37.1%
FAT outcome rate %	9.0%	5.3%		-3.7 % pt


Notes:

Crimes are based on the validation review date and the age is the age of the victim at the time of the offence

This does not take into account how recent the offences were. Offences that occurred years ago to someone who was a child at the time but is an adult now, will be included within the child data

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Serious Sexual Offences - Rape**



Rape Adult	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - Adult	1019	1100	81	7.9%
FAT outcomes	45	18	-27	-60.0%
FAT outcome rate %	4.4%	1.6%		-2.8 % pt

Rape Child	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - Child	430	472	42	9.8%
FAT outcomes	40	20	-20	-50.0%
FAT outcome rate %	9.3%	4.2%		-5.1 % pt

Notes:

Crimes are based on the validation review date and the age is the age of the victim at the time of the offence

This does not take into account how recent the offences were. Offences that occurred years ago to someone who was a child at the time but is an adult now, will be included within the child data

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Serious Sexual Offences - MSG**

	Serious Sexual Offences		Rape	
	Crimes	FAT Outcomes	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	8 of 8	8 of 8	8 of 8	5 of 8
MSG actual	0.614	5.5%	0.274	3.6%
MSG average	0.495	6.9%	0.223	4.2%



Crimes per 1000 population

Data provided two month in arrears



IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

Child Abuse



## IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

### Child Abuse

Child Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	2254	2277	23	1.0%
Formal Action Taken/ Formal Action Not Appropriate/ Outcome 20	806	604	-202	-25.1%
Formal Action Taken/ Formal Action Not Appropriate/ Outcome 20 outcome rate %	35.8%	26.5%		-9.3 % pt

Child Abuse	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Charged and Summoned	156	134	-22	-14.1%

Note: The crime codes selected are those defined by HMIC for child abuse.

The performance success of Child Abuse Investigations is not simply measured by the number of convictions. Any investigation must consider the needs and views of the child. Child Abuse covers a wide range of offences, including physical and sexual, with offenders not just strangers but often within the family. It also includes offences where both the victim and the offender are children. Almost all offences involving child victims involve multiple agencies working together to safeguard. In order to understand our own performance, we measure three key areas;

#### Formal Action Taken

Where we have either charged the suspect who will then go to court, or give them a formal out of court disposal such as a conditional caution or community resolution, where we can attach conditions, for example to undertake education or parenting courses

#### Formal Action Not Applicable

Sometimes we have sufficient evidence to proceed with a charge or formal outcome but for all of the reasons outlined above we will not proceed to a prosecution. Often this is because of the impact on the victim, their wishes, or the public interest in prosecuting the offender, for example where the child is very young and the consequences of the action taken were not necessarily realised and would result in a criminal record. More often than not this relates to offences where the victim or offender were of a similar age

#### Outcome 20

This is used where another agency other than police have primacy for the action taken following the report of a crime. In most cases this will be social services and often results from joint investigations related to crimes within the family such as low level assaults or neglectful living conditions. Often in these cases the intervention of other services may help improve the long term care of the child and is therefore in their best interest

# IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP


## Missing Persons



Missing Persons	Occurrences YTD	People YTD	Repeat Rate
Adult	2619	2701	-3.0%
Child	5311	3730	42.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7930</b>	<b>6431</b>	<b>23.3%</b>

## IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

### Modern Day Slavery



Modern Day Slavery - Crimes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Crimes	68	80	12	18%


Modern Day Slavery - Outcomes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Formal Action Taken	2	0	-2	-
Formal Action Not Appropriate	1	1	0	0%
No Formal Action - Victim Based	9	24	15	167%
No Formal Action - Invest. Based	21	33	12	57%
Outcome 20	13	12	-1	-8%
Total Outcomes	46	70	24	52%

Children Trafficking - Crimes	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Total Crimes	18	14	-4	-22%

Note: These are crimes coded to 'arrange or facilitate travel of another person with a view of exploitation', where the victim is aged 17 or under. This data is likely to see significant fluctuations over the months, as Hampshire has to record each crime that comes to its attention, many of which will then be transferred to the force having jurisdiction over the point of entry into the UK

## IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

### Hate - Incidents and Crimes




Note: The new faith/religion descriptions are waiting to be included in the hate flag dropdown options. Any repeat victims therefore will not be included for this hate characteristic

Hate Crime by Characteristic	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Disability	183	244	61	33.3%
Faith/Religion	151	45	-106	-70.2%
Gender Identity	61	79	18	29.5%
Race	1427	1382	-45	-3.2%
Sexual Orientation	324	312	-12	-3.7%
<b>Total</b>	<b>2146</b>	<b>2062</b>	<b>-84</b>	<b>-3.9%</b>

Note: It is possible for an occurrence to meet the classification of more than one hate crime characteristic

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP


Hate - Crimes and FAT Outcomes



Hate	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
FAT outcomes	445	479	34	7.6%
FAT outcome rate %	21.5%	24.1%		+2.6 % pt

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Violence with Injury**




Violence with Injury	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	16274	16562	288	1.8%
FAT outcomes	3130	3204	74	2.4%
FAT outcome rate %	19.2%	19.3%		+0.1 % pt

Violence with Injury	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	8 of 8	1 of 8
MSG actual	2.714	20.8%
MSG average	1.985	18.6%

Note: Crimes per 1000 population, provided two month in arrears

## IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

### Harassment



Note: Home Office codes 8/71 (disclose private sexual photographs and films with intent to cause distress) and 8/72 (sending letters etc with intent to cause distress or anxiety) were removed from the classification of Harassment from 1 April 2017. These previously accounted for around 70% of harassment

Harassment	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	1520	2586	1066	70.1%
FAT outcomes	357	429	72	20.2%
FAT outcome rate %	23.5%	16.6%		-6.9 % pt

The Protection from Harassment Act 1997 is designed to be used where no other substantive notifiable offence exists. It addresses series of incidents that do not amount to the commission of a substantive offence per se, but when looked at as a course of conduct are likely to cause fear, alarm or distress.

However, a course of conduct where all of some of the incidents do amount to substantive notifiable offences could also amount to harassment. Where this is the case the harassment will be recorded in addition to the most serious additional victim based offence involving the same victim-offender relationship reported at the same time. This Home Office Counting Rule change came into effect in April 2018



# IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

## Stalking




Stalking	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	171	314	143	83.6%
FAT outcomes	50	75	25	50.0%
FAT outcome rate %	29.2%	23.9%		-5.3 % pt

Following the change in Home Office Counting Rules in April 2018, stalking should be recorded in addition to the most serious additional notifiable crime reported at the same time (per victim offender relationship)

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Robbery**




Robbery	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	883	994	111	12.6%
FAT outcomes	140	135	-5	-3.6%
FAT outcome rate %	15.9%	13.6%		-2.3 % pt

Robbery	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	4 of 8	2 of 8
MSG actual	0.192	14.2%
MSG average	0.213	12.7%

Note: Crimes per 1000 population, provided two month in arrears

IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

**Burglary Residential**



Note: The Home Office amended the crime classifications of burglary dwelling and burglary non-dwelling to burglary residential and burglary business and community, with effect from April 2017, changing the distinction of each. Data before and after this date will not be comparable


Burglary Residential	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes - dwellings	3252	3056	-196	-6.0%
Crimes - sheds/garages etc	2945	2689	-256	-8.7%
Crimes - total	6197	5745	-452	-7.3%
FAT outcomes	400	299	-101	-25.3%
FAT outcome rate %	6.5%	5.2%		-1.3 % pt

Burglary Residential	Crimes	FAT Outcomes
MSG position	4 of 8	4 of 8
MSG actual	2.423	5.8%
MSG average	2.550	5.3%

Note: Crimes per 1000 households, provided two month in arrears.

# IDENTIFYING AND PROTECTING THOSE WHO NEED OUR HELP

## Online Crime



Note: These are the crimes flagged on RMS as cyber crime and/or CSE online crime

Online Crime	Previous YTD	Current YTD	Change	% Change
Crimes	760	1014	254	33.4%
FAT outcomes	130	124	-6	-4.6%
FAT outcome rate %	17.1%	12.2%		-4.9 % pt

	Previous YTD	Current YTD
Online Crime	760	1014
Total Crime	120834	122188
Online Crime as % of Total Crime	0.6%	0.8%