

PPAF INTRODUCTION

Policing Performance Assessment Framework

The Home Office published on 27 October 2005 a comparison of performance for each police force in England and Wales. These assessments were based on both PPAF measures and HMIC baseline assessments in 2004-2005. Each Force was assessed in the 7 PPAF domains


	Hampshire	ASOM	Beds	Essex	Kent	Leics	Northants	TVPO
Citizen Focus	Fair	Fair	Fair	Fair	Good	Fair	Fair	Poor
Reducing crime	Good	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair
Investigating crime	Good	Poor	Good	Fair	Fair	Fair	Poor	Fair
Promoting Public Safety	Good	Good	Fair	Good	Good	Good	Poor	Good
Providing Assistance	Excellent	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Good	Good	Good
Resource Use	Good	Good	Good	Good	Excellent	Fair	Good	Good
Local Policing	Good	Good	Poor	Fair	Fair	Excellent	Poor	Fair

The full assessment and measures is shown in the PPAF Grades worksheet

The measures used in each domain are listed below showing the performance in 2004/2005 and 2005/2006. Sickness data and data on time spent on front line duties for 2005/2006 are not yet available.

Citizen Focus Domain


Residents thinking police do an excellent or good job



	Leics	TVPO	ASOM	Hampshire	Beds	Northants	Essex	Kent
2004/2005	53%	50%	48%	53%	41%	41%	44%	46%
2005/2006	54%	54%	53%	51%	51%	49%	44%	42%
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

Reducing Crime Domain

Domestic burglaries per 1000 households




	Hampshire	Essex	Kent	ASOM	TVPO	Leics	Beds	Northants
2004/2005	7.3	8.6	10.3	15.2	13.8	15.2	16.7	16.9
2005/2006	7.8	9.0	10.7	12.4	13.4	13.6	15.3	15.5
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

PPAF INTRODUCTION


Reducing Crime Domain (continued)

Vehicle crimes per 1000 residents



	Hampshire	Leics	Kent	Essex	TVPO	Northants	ASOM	Beds
2004/2005	9.2	12.2	11.3	11.6	14.8	16.6	16.1	14.7
2005/2006	10.0	10.7	11.9	12.4	13.9	14.4	14.7	15.6
2006/2007				Awaiting data				


Violent crimes per 1000 residents



	Essex	Kent	TVPO	Northants	Beds	ASOM	Hampshire	Leics
2004/2005	17.3	16.2	18.2	19.9	20.7	23.9	23.3	26.0
2005/2006	16.4	18.9	19.1	19.1	20.7	22.9	23.7	25.3
2006/2007				Awaiting data				


Investigating Crime Domain

Overall Sanction detection rate



	Leics	TVPO	Essex	Kent	ASOM	Beds	Hampshire	Northants
2004/2005	24%	22%	22%	20%	17%	24%	23%	21%
2005/2006	29%	27%	26%	24%	23%	23%	21%	20%
2006/2007				Awaiting data				

Offences brought to Justice (OBTJ) detection rate (no data for 2003/2004)




	Leics	TVPO	Essex	Kent	Beds	ASOM	Hampshire	Northants
2004/2005	24%	20%	20%	21%	21%	17%	22%	17%
2005/2006	28%	26%	26%	23%	22%	22%	20%	20%
2006/2007				Awaiting data				

PPAF INTRODUCTION


Promoting Public Safety Domain

Residents very worried about burglary




	Essex	Hampshire	TVPO	ASOM	Beds	Leics	Kent	Northants
2004/2005	7%	9%	9%	10%	11%	12%	12%	17%
2005/2006	8%	9%	10%	11%	12%	12%	13%	18%
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

Residents highly worried about car crime




	Essex	ASOM	Hampshire	TVPO	Leics	Kent	Beds	Northants
2004/2005	7%	11%	12%	10%	13%	13%	12%	20%
2005/2006	7%	11%	11%	11%	14%	15%	16%	19%
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

Residents highly worried about violent crime



	Essex	ASOM	TVPO	Hampshire	Leics	Beds	Kent	Northants
2004/2005	10%	14%	14%	14%	15%	19%	17%	25%
2005/2006	9%	13%	14%	14%	17%	19%	20%	22%
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

Residents perceiving disorder as high




	Essex	Hampshire	ASOM	Leics	TVPO	Northants	Beds	Kent
2004/2005	7%	18%	14%	13%	15%	22%	22%	18%
2005/2006	7%	15%	15%	16%	17%	20%	20%	23%
2006/2007	Awaiting data							

PPAF INTRODUCTION


Providing Assistance Domain

Percentage of police officer time spent on frontline duties




Resource Usage Domain

Days lost per year per head (police officers)



Days lost per year per head (police staff)



The 2005 Police Performance Assessments for 2004/2005

The Home Office 2004/05 Police Performance Assessments showed the performance of the 43 forces in England and Wales in terms of seven domains, performance assessed in four grades and direction of travel.

These assessments are based on a combination of PPAF measures and the results of HMIC Baseline Assessments.

Relative to top box shows excellent, second box good, third box fair and bottom box poor

Direction of up triangle shows improvement, diamond shows no change, down triangle shows deterioration

PPAF INTRODUCTION

Policing Performance Assessment Framework

At the moment the proposal involves making achievement and direction of travel assessments for all 36 PPAF measures and 27 baseline assessments.

The 23 Baseline Assessments are:

	2004/2005	2005/2006
Citizen Focus Domain		
Fairness and Equality in Service Delivery	Fair	Fair/improved
Neighbourhood Policing and Problem Solving	Fair/improved	Fair/improved
Customer Service and Accessibility	Good/improved	Fair/stable
Professional Standards	not assessed	Poor
Reducing Crime Domain		
Volume Crime Reduction	Good/improved	Good/deteriorated
Investigating Crime Domain		
Managing critical incidents and major crime	Good	Good/improved
Tackling serious and organised criminality	Fair	Fair/improved
Volume Crime Investigation	Good/improved	Fair/deteriorated
Improving Forensic Performance	Excellent/stable	Good/deteriorated
Criminal Justice Processes	Fair/improved	Good/improved
Promoting Public Safety Domain		
Reduce anti-social behaviour	Good/improved	Good/improved
Protecting vulnerable people	not assessed	Fair/stable
Providing Assistance Domain		
Contact Management	Excellent/improved	Excellent/stable
Providing Specialist Operational Support	Fair/stable	Fair/improved
Strategic Roads Policing	Excellent/improved	Excellent/stable
Resource Use Domain		
Human Resource Management	Good/stable	Good/stable
Training, Development and Organisational Learning	Fair/improved	Good/improved
Race and Diversity	Good	Good/improved
Managing Financial and Physical Resources	Good/improved	Good/stable
Information & Communication Technology Management	Good/stable	Good/stable
National Intelligence Model	Good/stable	Good/improved
Force as a Whole		
Leadership	Good	Good
Performance Management and Continuous Improvement	Good/stable	Fair/deteriorated

Many titles have changed and it may not be appropriate to compare topics across years.